1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 CLIFTON DRAPER, 11 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-05-0481 LKK KJM PS 12 VS. 13 LT. DEP. BILLIPS, et al., 14 Defendants. FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS 15 By order filed May 20, 2005, plaintiff's amended complaint was dismissed and 16 17 thirty days leave to file a second amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now 18 expired, and plaintiff has not filed a second amended complaint or otherwise responded to the 19 court's order. 20 Although it appears from the court's docket that plaintiffs copy of the order was 21 returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court 22 apprised of his current address at all times. As provided by Local Rule 83-182(f), service of 23 documents at the record address of the party is fully effective. 24 IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without 25 prejudice. See Local Rule 11-110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). ///// 26

Case 2:05-cv-00481-LKK-KJM Document 7 Filed 07/12/05 Page 2 of 2

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: July 11, 2005. drap0481.fta